

A 122-nation alliance is backing a lawsuit that could free the Earth from financial tyranny. This investigation reveals who the perpetrators are and what we can do to solve the problem.

[PLEASE NOTE: This article will be undergoing *dynamic updates* throughout the weekend. Please LINK to it but do not COPY and MIRROR it until Monday. I highly recommend reloading every 15 minutes to catch small but relevant updates.]

JUST IN THE NICK OF TIME

2012 has begun as a year of rampant paranoia and hopelessness on the Internet and throughout mainstream media.

The economy appears to be in a dire predicament -- ready to go over a cliff into an abyss few can even allow themselves to consider.

The Euro has been teetering on the brink of total collapse. [A frantic bailout of the entire European Union, proposed by the Federal Reserve](#), has done very little to relieve the fears of the public.

On December 19th, 2011, [Britain announced they will refuse to participate in this bailout](#) -- showing how tense and uncertain the situation really is.

Simultaneously, very aggressive and blatant moves are being made to start World War III in the Middle East -- with [imminent, ever-increasing threats from Israel and the United States to attack Iran](#).

Since 9/11, Americans and much of the Western world have been led to believe that the biggest enemy they face is terrorism from Islamic extremists. Nonetheless, there is now overwhelming, undeniable evidence that the true enemy... is *within*.

You are about to read a comprehensive investigation summarizing all the best information I have gathered about the true nature of this crisis since I became directly aware of it in 1992.

Very few people are aware that **a massive 122-nation coalition has formed to solve the problem** -- just in the nick of time -- and they are backing a legal, public solution to end Financial Tyranny.

A TRILLION DOLLAR LAWSUIT

[A trillion-dollar lawsuit was filed as of November 23, 2011](#) -- and the resulting investigation will provide a rock-solid legal framework to reveal the full nature of the problems we face... and arrest those responsible:

http://divinecosmos.com/media/Keenan_complaint_11-23-2011_SDNY.pdf

Bear in mind that the evidence backing this lawsuit, if made public, will completely expose what has been going on at the highest levels -- by the people themselves, speaking in their own words.

This would be the most dramatic public expose' of the group responsible for this Financial Tyranny since the early 1800s -- as you will see.

Our [initial announcement and confirmation of this lawsuit](#) has already received over 650,000 hits, 34,000 Facebook Likes and 1000 written comments as we publish this final, completed investigation.

LETHAL CONSEQUENCES

This initial announcement also earned me a very serious warning -- from two different insiders working at high levels of government -- that I needed to publish the entire investigation as quickly as possible, or my life could be in imminent danger.

The conspirators are desperate to stop the bleeding and save themselves from prosecution. Threats, bribery, blackmail, torture and murder are their standard tools of the trade.

As you will see, on December 31st, David Hutzler publicly conveyed vital information to me for this case, acting directly on behalf of Benjamin Fulford -- the former Asia-Pacific bureau chief for Forbes Magazine, and a leading representative of this international alliance.

Ben had David direct me to the "[Unwanted Publicity Intelligence](#)" website, which contains extremely sensitive documents. I was astonished when I reviewed this website, considering that I was told it is very dangerous to publish pictures of the Federal Reserve bonds.

A week later, on January 6th, [David and his eight-year-old son Mackie burned to death in their home](#). Hardly anything recognizable was left. Accelerants were found outside and [arson has not been ruled out](#).



Is this a coincidence? Maybe. Out of fear, skeptics will insist there is no connection between these two events. I disagree.

Even in light of this blatant threat, I will not be intimidated. This potential murder of a father and son only inspired me to do an even better job with the investigation.

You deserve to know the truth.

Our future depends on it.

THIS IS NOT YOUR TYPICAL NEWS STORY

Up until now, the only mainstream media outlet that has dared to report on this intriguingly vast and mysterious case is the [Courthouse News Service](#):

Bizarre Claim for \$1 Trillion

By DAN MCCUE

[Like](#) 293 [Tweet](#) [ShareThis](#)



MANHATTAN (CN) - An American expatriate in Bulgaria claims the United Nations, the World Economic Forum, the Office of International Treasury Control and the Italian government conspired with a host of others to steal more than \$1.1 trillion in financial instruments intended to support humanitarian purposes.

The 111-page federal complaint involves a range of entities common to conspiracy theorists, including the Vatican Illuminati, the Masons, the "Trilateral Trillennium Tripartite Gold Commission," and the U.S. Federal Reserve.

The "back story" behind this lawsuit is extremely complex. Since November 23rd, 2011, I have worked an average of 14 or more hours a day to produce this report.

Furthermore, the core information pertaining to the case itself is cloaked in the deepest secrecy. Much of it must be obtained firsthand -- from people who have to risk their lives to tell the truth.

Wherever possible, I have drawn off of provable information. When this was unavailable, I relied as much as possible on "leaked" insider testimony that is already well-established on public record to reveal the story. The works of David Guyatt -- at <http://www.deepblacklies.co.uk> -- have been uniquely beneficial in this regard.

In addition to the above investigative methods, you will also read the world's first exclusive, detailed interview with the two main plaintiffs responsible for filing this lawsuit -- explaining what they are doing and why it is so urgently needed.

First, however, we need to break down the lies and reveal the full scope of the problem -- relying upon provable facts, documented in the public domain, as much as possible.

THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Since 1913, the currency of the United States has been owned and managed by a private corporation of international bankers known as the "Federal Reserve System."

This group prints "Federal Reserve Notes" and loans them out to the United States Treasury. American taxpayers then pay interest to the Federal Reserve banking families for the rights to use their money.

The Federal Reserve banking families can therefore print as much money as they want -- and give it to whomever they want, secretly, with no oversight or input from the United States government.

In this clip, former Federal Reserve chairman Alan Greenspan admits that the Federal Reserve is an independent agency whose decisions cannot be overruled by any element of the legitimate United States government.

"REPORTER: What is the proper relationship... what should be the proper relationship between a chairman of the Fed and a president of the United States?"

GREENSPAN: Well, first of all, **the Federal Reserve is an independent agency.** And that means basically that, uh, **there is no other agency of government which can overrule actions that we take.**

So long as that is in place, and there is no evidence that the administration, the Congress or anybody else is requesting that we do things other than what we think is the appropriate thing, then what the relationships are don't frankly matter.

I've had very good relationships with presidents."

26 TRILLION DOLLARS OF FRAUD

Thanks to [heroic efforts of Congressman Ron Paul, former Congressman Alan Grayson and Congressman Bernie Sanders to audit the Federal Reserve](#), we now know that [the Federal Reserve secretly lent out 26 trillion dollars' worth of American money from 2007 to 2010 -- much of it to foreign banks.](#)

Twenty. Six. TRILLION. Dollars.

Here is a small part of the letter where Congressman Alan Grayson spells it all out to John Hively, "The World's Most Accurate Economic Forecaster Since 1989".

<http://johnhively.wordpress.com/2011/12/05/breakdown-of-the-26-trillion-the-federal-reserve-handed-out-to-save-rich-incompetent-investors-but-who-purchase-political-power/>

CONGRESSMAN GRAYSON: I wouldn't want anyone to think that I'm dramatizing or amplifying what this GAO report says, so I'm just going to list some of my favorite parts, by page number.

Page 131 – The total lending for the Fed's "broad-based emergency programs" was \$16,115,000,000,000. That's right, more than \$16 trillion. The four largest recipients, Citigroup, Morgan Stanley, Merrill Lynch and Bank of America, received more than a trillion dollars each.

The 5th largest recipient was Barclays PLC. The 8th was the Royal Bank of Scotland Group, PLC. The 9th was Deutsche Bank AG. The 10th was UBS AG. These four institutions each got between a quarter of a trillion and a trillion dollars. None of them is an American bank.

Page 205 – Separate and apart from these “broad-based emergency program” loans were another \$10,057,000,000,000 in “currency swaps.” In the “currency swaps,” the Fed handed dollars to foreign central banks, no strings attached, to fund bailouts in other countries....

These currency swaps and the “broad-based emergency program” loans, together, totaled more than \$26 trillion. That’s almost \$100,000 for every man, woman, and child in America.

That’s an amount equal to more than seven years of federal spending — on the military, Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, interest on the debt, and everything else. And around twice America’s total GNP....

If the Fed had extended \$26 trillion in credit to the American people instead of Wall Street, would there be 24 million Americans today who can’t find a full-time job?

TWENTY SIX TRILLION DOLLARS? HOW CAN IT BE POSSIBLE?

Does this upset you? Or have you become so numb that you just want to click away and look at hot models – or read about celebrities? *“I don’t want to hear this \$#!+. My life is bad enough as it is.”*

26 Trillion is a gigantic number. Seemingly unimaginable.

This is a documented, proven fact. Isn’t it strange that you’ve hardly heard anything about this in the mainstream media?

That should be your first clue. Knowledge is power. Instead of feeling sick, angry, paranoid, sad or depressed, be aware that *once you know the truth, nothing can stop us.*

There will be losses. David and Mackie Hutzler may be two of the most recent ones. However, once a "critical mass" of people possess sensitive information, there is simply no possible way to stop it from spreading.

Most people can’t even comprehend such a vast amount of money as 26 Trillion dollars in practical terms – but we’ll get to that.

The results of the audit were [first published on the morning of July 21, 2011.](#)

At first, the most obvious number that jumped out from the report was "only" 16 trillion. You have to add in the ten trillion in “currency swaps” to reach the [full 26-trillion-dollar mark.](#)

JUST HOW BIG IS IT?

Let's stick with the initial figure of 16 trillion for now, as there are very few articles on this subject – and most of them quote that number.

This next article from Unelected.org clearly reveals the scope of the outrage:

<http://www.unelected.org/audit-of-the-federal-reserve-reveals-16-trillion-in-secret-bailouts>

The results of the first audit in the Federal Reserve's nearly 100-year history were posted on Senator Sanders' webpage earlier this morning:

<http://sanders.senate.gov/newsroom/news/?id=9e2a4ea8-6e73-4be2-a753-62060dcbb3c3>

What was revealed in the audit was startling: \$16,000,000,000,000.00 had been secretly given out to US banks and corporations, and foreign banks everywhere from France to Scotland.

From the period between December 2007 and June 2010, the Federal Reserve secretly bailed out many of the world's banks, corporations, and governments.

The Federal Reserve likes to refer to these secret bailouts as an all-inclusive loan program, but virtually *none of the money has been returned -- and it was loaned out at 0% interest.*

Why the Federal Reserve had never been public about this or even informed the United States Congress about the \$16 trillion dollar bailout is obvious.

The American public would have been outraged to find out that the Federal Reserve bailed out foreign banks while Americans were struggling to find jobs.

PUTTING IT IN PERSPECTIVE

So how much could this figure of 16 trillion dollars have done if it were redirected into the United States economy, on behalf of the people -- rather than rewarding the banks and financial institutions who started all this mess in the first place?

Let's continue with the article from Unelected.org and find out:

<http://www.unelected.org/audit-of-the-federal-reserve-reveals-16-trillion-in-secret-bailouts>

To place \$16 trillion into perspective, remember that **the GDP of the United States is only \$14.12 trillion.**

The entire national debt of the United States government spanning its 200+ year history is “only” \$14.5 trillion.

The budget that is being debated so heavily in Congress and the Senate is “only” \$3.5 trillion.

Take all of the outrage and debate over the \$1.5 trillion deficit into consideration, and swallow this Red pill: **There was no debate about whether \$16,000,000,000,000 would be given to failing banks and failing corporations around the world.**

In late 2008, the TARP Bailout bill was passed -- and loans of \$800 billion were given to failing banks and companies. That was a blatant lie -- considering the fact that **Goldman Sachs alone received 814 billion dollars.**

As it turns out, the Federal Reserve donated \$2.5 trillion to Citigroup, while Morgan Stanley received \$2.04 trillion. The Royal Bank of Scotland and Deutsche Bank, a German bank, split about a trillion and numerous other banks received hefty chunks of the \$16 trillion.

IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO COMPREHEND THE DEPTH OF THE FRAUD

The initial figure of 16 trillion that jumped out of the Federal Reserve audit is **more money than all the goods and services produced by every single person in the United States** -- for any given year.

It is greater than the entire amount of debt ever racked up by the United States in its 235-year history as well.

The 2010 Census estimated there are [114,825,428 households in the US](#). Sixteen trillion dollars in secret bailouts adds up to **139 thousand and 342 dollars per household.**

The full figure of 26 trillion adds up to nearly **a quarter million dollars per household** – \$226,430.68 to be exact.

When you put it in those terms, the full weight of the theft becomes nothing short of *staggering*.

Imagine if your family had a quarter million dollars saved -- and then someone robbed you. What if the thief then told everyone what he did – but no one cared enough to do anything?

That's what just happened to *every single family in the United States of America*.

Every single adult in the United States who was unemployed or on public assistance could have been given a job – so they can lead a happy, fulfilling and prosperous life – with plenty of money to spare.

A vast public works program could easily have been created to stimulate the economy – so that the money would become an *investment*, not a one-time gift.

WHAT COULD WE HAVE DONE?

[We could have built high-speed bullet trains](#) to make it easier and faster than flying to travel through congested urban areas -- such as the East Coast megalopolis -- and other corridors between nearby cities.

We could have restored our archaic, battered fleet of passenger airliners, (which still have ashtrays in the bathrooms,) with all new jets – and bigger, more comfortable seats. ([Many flights are delayed or canceled for maintenance. This is a consistent problem when I fly.](#))

We could have restored our crumbling roads and bridges – increasing comfort, safety and gas mileage.

We could have transformed the inner cities with massive construction and refurbishing projects, and dramatically improved public transportation – making it easier for people to work.

We could have massively upgraded the nation's fiber-optic capabilities – bringing our Internet access up to speed with most of the rest of the developed world.

We could have completely modernized public schools with enough computers for every kid.

We could have trained or hired teachers to educate our children in the skills and software needed to be competitive in today's evolving workforce – including touch typing, word processing, spreadsheet, Photoshop, web design, video editing, motion graphics, computer animation and music sequencing.

We could have noticed the enormous popularity of Guitar Hero and RockBand and built sound-proofed music studios in schools, where kids earn lessons and studio time on *real* musical instruments as an incentive for good grades. If they sign a record deal, a figure such as 25 percent of their advance would be paid back to help finance the school.

We could have boldly invested in clean energy technologies to heal the environment – [as China is now doing, to the tune of half a trillion dollars.](#)

And, [as Foster Gamble revealed](#) in his groundbreaking independent film *Thrive*, we could have [ended poverty and environmental destruction](#) for as little as 200 billion dollars a year.

Instead of doing any of this, the "one percent" continued to live the lifestyles of the rich and famous -- while the poor sank ever deeper into the [Next Great Depression](#), as economist Paul Krugman recently called it.

CONGRESSMAN BERNIE SANDERS STRIPS AWAY THE LIES

In early November, Congressman Bernie Sanders revealed that in addition to handing out 16 trillion dollars, (not counting the ten trillion in “currency swaps” Congressman Grayson pointed out), the Federal Reserve also *owns* the financial agencies they are supposed to be regulating:

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/rep-bernie-sanders/the-veil-of-secrecy-at-th_b_1072099.html

The GAO [audit] also revealed that **many of the people who serve as directors of the 12 Federal Reserve Banks come from the exact same financial institutions that the Fed is in charge of regulating.**

Further, the GAO found that at least 18 current and former Fed board members were affiliated with banks and companies that received emergency loans from the Federal Reserve during the financial crisis.

In other words, **the people "regulating" the banks were the exact same people who were being "regulated."** Talk about the fox guarding the henhouse!...

For example, the CEO of JP Morgan Chase served on the New York Fed's board of directors at the same time that his bank received more than \$390 billion in financial assistance from the Fed....

Getting this type of disclosure was not easy. **Wall Street and the Federal Reserve fought it every step of the way.**

But, as difficult as it was to lift the veil of secrecy at the Fed, it will be even harder to reform the Fed so that it serves the needs of all Americans, and not just Wall Street. But, that is exactly what we have to do.

MAINSTREAM MEDIA ATTEMPTS TO LIE ABOUT THE REAL SIZE OF THE BAILOUTS

So far, we've seen that the Federal Reserve secretly created 26 trillion dollars, without US government approval. This adds up to nearly a quarter million dollars per household in America.

This money was then handed out to financial institutions like Goldman Sachs, Citigroup, Bank of America, JP Morgan Chase, Morgan Stanley, the Royal Bank of Scotland and Deutsche Bank.

While I was conducting this investigation in mid-December, it felt very surreal to see mainstream media journalists say the entire bailout added up to nothing more than 1.2 trillion dollars. Then they tried to say *"this is what a central bank is supposed to do."*

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2011/12/23/federal-reserve-emergency-loans_n_1168341.html

Add up the emergency loans the Federal Reserve distributed to banks between 2007 and 2009 -- when the American economy lurched closer to collapse than anyone had previously thought possible -- and it's an impressive picture.

On Friday, Bloomberg News made available the fullest version yet of [its data on Fed emergency lending](#), a subject the news organization has written about numerous times in the past year. The Bloomberg release includes records of about 50,000 transactions the Fed made through seven different financial mechanisms.

At their peak, these seven programs represented [\\$1.2 trillion in loans to banks and financial institutions](#) -- the high-water mark of a massive, systemic bailout whose details the country's central banking authority has not always seemed eager to divulge....

Even as critics have accused the Fed of being less than forthcoming, **few people claim that the central bank did the wrong thing** by stepping in to backstop a financial system that seemed to be on the verge of imploding.

"In the middle of the financial crisis, this is what you need. **This is what a central bank is supposed to do,**" said James Wilcox, a professor at the Haas School of Business at the University of California, Berkeley.

Wilcox compared the financial crisis to a forest fire -- except, he said, "forest fires are more predictable in the path they're going to take."

The Huffington Post featured the genuine figures earlier, as we just saw -- which made this all the stranger.

The utterly fake figures of 1.2 trillion and 7.7 trillion were both refuted on December 13th, 2011 by economist L. Randall Wray, who said the actual number is a full-on 29 Trillion dollars.

<http://www.newsmax.com/StreetTalk/Economist-Fed-Exposure-Trillion/2011/12/13/id/420763>

The true total of Federal Reserve emergency lending to Wall Street is not \$1.2 trillion, as Fed Chairman Ben Bernanke contends, nor the \$7.7 trillion figure reported by Bloomberg News, which Bernanke publicly contests.

The real number, argues economist L. Randall Wray, is a staggering \$29 trillion.

Wray writes that Bernanke's recent defense of the lower figure is "misleading" and that the chairman's claim that Fed bailouts do not constitute a form of spending is plain wrong.

WAS THE 26-TO-29 TRILLION DOLLARS ENOUGH TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM?

There is simply no justifiable explanation for why this much money was needed. It had nothing to do with securing the assets of ordinary Americans, as we will see.

However, let's ask this question first: Was this enough money to rescue these institutions and restore them to full health? Or, did it merely keep them on life support a little longer?

THEY ARE IN WAY OVER THEIR HEADS

The answer is shocking. The 26 trillion dollars was nothing more than a sudden gasp of air for companies that are still drowning in debt.

Thanks to deregulation, such as [the repeal of the Glass-Steagall act](#), these institutions were allowed to hold one dollar in the bank, but then spend like they had 5, 10, 30 or even 100 dollars.

To put it in perspective, if you had ten thousand dollars in your checking account, you would suddenly become a millionaire if you could leverage it at the "100X" point in one single "trade".

These financial institutions have manipulated and abused this system to do casino gambling, on a global scale -- to the tune of *hundreds of trillions of dollars*.

It's a very complex discussion, but when you see the term "derivatives", this is what it means.

THE 600-TRILLION-DOLLAR TIME BOMB

Incredibly, just four financial institutions have gambled 600 trillion dollars' worth of money they don't even have -- so this bailout was still not even close to being enough to protect them.

Even if they had all the money in the world at their disposal, they'd still need *ten times more* to bail themselves out:

<http://moneymorning.com/2011/10/12/derivatives-the-600-trillion-time-bomb-thats-set-to-explode/>

In 2009, five banks held 80% of derivatives in America. Now, just *four* banks hold a staggering 95.9% of U.S. derivatives, according to [a recent report from the Office of the Currency Comptroller](#).

The four banks in question: JPMorgan Chase & Co. (NYSE: [JPM](#)), Citigroup Inc. (NYSE: [C](#)), Bank of America Corp. (NYSE: [BAC](#)) and Goldman Sachs Group Inc. (NYSE: [GS](#)).

Derivatives played a crucial role in bringing down the global economy [in 2008], so **you would think that the world's top policymakers would have reined these things in by now -- but they haven't.**

Instead of attacking the problem, regulators have let it spiral out of control, and the result is a \$600 trillion time bomb called the derivatives market....

The world's gross domestic product (GDP) is only about \$65 trillion, or roughly 10.83% of the worldwide value of the global derivatives market, according to *The Economist*.

So there is literally not enough money on the planet to backstop the banks trading these things if they run into trouble.

IT'S EASY TO BACK UP THE SAVINGS OF AVERAGE AMERICANS

I personally do not think it is a bad thing to let these companies fail. I fight back with facts – and think for myself instead.

The real money of ordinary people holding accounts in those banks could have been protected for much less than the cost of even the first public TARP bailout.

Just to prove the point, let's go to billshrink.com and get some provable statistics:

<http://www.billshrink.com/blog/10053/how-much-do-american-save/>

The average American household income was 50,000 dollars in 2010. The overall tax rate is 19 percent, leaving \$40,500 in disposable income.

Overall, Americans spend an incredible 94 percent of their disposable income. The remaining 6 percent is saved in the bank.

This adds up to a mere \$2,400 dollars per household. This is not a guess, but a provable statistic.

With [114,825,428 households in the US](#), it would only take one payment of **275 billion, 581 million dollars to guarantee the savings of all American citizens.**

The Fed's secret bailout of 26 trillion dollars was nearly 100 times greater than that.

WHAT ABOUT THE STOCK MARKET?

Obviously the money being held in the stock market is a lot more than 275 billion dollars – but how much is there, exactly?

We know this figure. All you have to do is add up the value of all the companies on the New York Stock Exchange.

This is called “total market capitalization” or the “Total Market Index.” As of this writing, it is just over 13 trillion dollars:

<http://www.gurufocus.com/stock-market-valuations.php>

As of today (12/24/11), the Total Market Index is at \$ **13,197.2 billion**, which is about **88%** of the last reported GDP.

This means that even if every single company in the world were to completely stop doing business -- abandoning their offices, factories, equipment and services – **we could still guarantee every stock on Earth for half the cost of the \$26 trillion dollars in interest-free ‘bailout’ loans handed out by the Fed.**

Obviously, the offices, factories, equipment, inventory and 95% of the employees could still be used after breaking up these monopolies and arresting the top conspirators. Without the toxic profit losses due to such incredible theft, these companies would probably thrive like never before.

The idea that any company’s entire value to its shareholders would simply disappear in the face of a breakup is completely illogical. Stocks always go down and up, down and up. That’s what they do. People will always need things. There will always be buying and selling.

HOW FAR DOWN DOES THE RABBIT HOLE GO?

Again, the Federal Reserve is a private corporation that prints money for the United States. The US then pays interest for the rights to use these “Federal Reserve Notes.”

The Federal Reserve secretly handed out 26 trillion dollars in bailout money between 2007 and 2010. The top four bailed-out banks are now doing 95.9 percent of all the gambling. Their total risk adds up to *600 trillion dollars* – ten times more than all the money in the world.

At this point, it may seem that all we have to do is dismantle the Federal Reserve, let the US Treasury print its own money, back up everyone’s bank accounts for 275 billion dollars, and then allow a handful of “too big to fail” financial institutions to collapse -- and be restructured in smaller pieces.

However, we now have scientific proof that this problem goes much deeper than just the Federal Reserve and a few of their closest banking buddies.


Instead, the Federal Reserve appears to be at the epicenter of a vast “interlocking directorate” of companies that may earn up to 80 percent of all the world’s wealth.

This, of course, makes it a lot more complicated to clean things up – but I do still believe it can be done, or else I wouldn’t have conducted this investigation.

USING SUPER-COMPUTERS TO PROVE THE FED RUNS THE WORLD

Ever since Benoit Mandelbrot discovered “fractals” in the 1970s, the fascinating new science of Chaos Theory has become a part of our collective knowledge base.

Mandelbrot discovered a remarkable “geometry of nature” – in which highly complex systems can be reduced down to a few very simple ingredients.



What if we use this same science to “hack” the world’s economy with super-computers – and see how many corporations actually control it?

Three scientists from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich, led by [James Glattfelder](#), recently did this – and [their results were published in New Scientist](#), a respected science magazine.

Glattfelder’s team unleashed a vast armada of supercomputers on [Orbis 2007](#) -- a very elaborate database of the top 37 million corporations and individual investors worldwide.

The results were absolutely stunning.

A CORE OF 1,318 COMPANIES EARN 80 PERCENT OF THE WORLD’S WEALTH

The Swiss scientists quickly found a total of 43,060 trans-national corporations in the Orbis 2007 database.

From this group, Glattfelder’s team revealed that a ‘core’ of 1,318 companies directly controlled 20 percent of the world’s wealth.

However, these corporations also appeared to own and control the stock in a majority of the world's largest companies -- whose profits added up to an *additional 60 percent* of global revenues:

<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21228354.500-revealed--the-capitalist-network-that-runs-the-world.html>

Although they represented 20 per cent of global operating revenues, the 1318 [corporations] appeared to collectively own, through their shares, **the majority of the world's large blue chip and manufacturing firms** -- the "real" economy -- representing a further 60 per cent of global revenues....

[This] core of 1318 companies [had] interlocking ownerships. Each of the 1318 had ties to two or more other companies, and *on average they were connected to 20 [other corporations]*.

A "SUPER-ENTITY" OF 147 CORPORATIONS CONTROL 40 PERCENT OF THE WEALTH

If that isn't surprising enough for you, then how about this?

Glattfelder's team then crunched the numbers even harder – and found a very deeply hidden “super-entity” of only 147 corporations – and “much of it” was connected to the 1,318-company ‘core’.

These 147 companies were all interconnected with each other in an “even more tightly knit” pattern than the 1,318 corporations in the ‘core’. To put it simply, *they all owned each other's companies*.

[Specifically, each company within the “super-entity” owned shares in all 146 others.]

Together, this super-elite, good-old-boys-club of 147 companies directly earns a whopping *40 percent of all the wealth in the world*:

<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21228354.500-revealed--the-capitalist-network-that-runs-the-world.html>

When the team further untangled the web of ownership, it found **much of it tracked back to a "super-entity" of 147 even more tightly knit companies** -- all of their ownership was held by other members of the super-entity -- that controlled 40 per cent of the total wealth in the network.

Without the advanced technology of supercomputers and chaos theory, no one would have been able to discover this. History has caught up to the Powers that Were.

THE SAME PEOPLE RUN THE FEDERAL RESERVE

Next question: What kind of companies do you think these top 147 corporations are? Remember – they control a staggering 40 percent of the world’s wealth.

[As it says on page 6 of the paper](#), 75 percent of the corporations within the “super-entity” were *financial institutions*.

The top 20 financial institutions within the “super-entity” should sound pretty familiar to you by now.

They include Barclays Bank, JP Morgan Chase & Co., Merrill Lynch, UBS, Bank of New York, Deutsche Bank and Goldman Sachs.

THE SCIENTISTS DIDN’T WANT TO STICK THEIR NECKS OUT

To be fair, these scientists did say this could all be due to the magic of Chaos theory.

They said this could all be a “logical phase” of the “self-organizing” nature of complex systems – such as the global economy:

<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21228354.500-revealed--the-capitalist-network-that-runs-the-world.html>

"Such structures are common in nature," says Sugihara.... Or as Braha puts it: "The Occupy Wall Street claim that 1 per cent of people have most of the wealth reflects a logical phase of the self-organising economy."

So, the super-entity may not result from conspiracy. The real question, says the Zurich team, is *whether it can exert concerted political power*.

Driffill feels 147 is too many to sustain collusion. Braha suspects they will compete in the market but *act together on common interests*....

"It's disconcerting to see how connected things really are," agrees George Sugihara of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography in La Jolla, California, a complex systems expert who has advised Deutsche Bank.

THE END OF THE PAPER IS WORDED MORE BOLDLY

You never waste words when you write up a scientific paper after years’ worth of hard work. Bearing that in mind, read the last paragraph of the actual paper itself:

http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/arxiv/pdf/1107/1107.5728v2.pdf

This is the first time a ranking of economic actors by global control is presented. Notice that many actors belong to the financial sector... and many of the names are well-known global players....

This means that they do not carry out their business in isolation but, on the contrary, **they are tied together in an extremely entangled web of control.**

This finding is extremely important since **there was no prior economic theory or empirical evidence regarding whether and how top players are connected.**

Finally, it should be noted that **governments and natural persons are only featured further down in the list.**

Then add this line in from the second-to-last paragraph of the first part of the paper, on page 8:

Our results show that, globally, **top holders are at least in the position to exert considerable control**, either formally (e.g., voting in shareholder and board meetings) or via informal negotiations.

“Informal negotiations” would be one way to describe the Federal Reserve System secretly handing 26 trillion dollars to the “top holders” within the “super-entity” of 147 corporations.

THIS MAY NOT BE A “NATURAL SELF-ORGANIZING EFFECT” AT ALL

Remember what we learned from Congressman Bernie Sanders after they audited the Federal Reserve?

The directors and board members of the 12 Federal Reserve banks are also running the top financial institutions. They just printed 26 trillion dollars of American money and gave it to themselves.

There’s nothing “natural” about this at all.

Our next task is to “follow the money” – and see if we can find out what corporations and industries are owned, either publicly or in secret, by the Federal Reserve “super-entity”. (Glattfelder’s team has not yet published the full list.)

It’s not that hard to do. If 80 percent of all the world’s profits are going to the Federal Reserve “super-entity”, then they would need to control many of the most profitable industries.

As Glattfelder’s team already said, this entity controls **“the majority of the world’s large blue chip and manufacturing firms.”**

In case you are unfamiliar with the term “blue chip,” it refers to any large company that consistently draws profits. The Dow Jones Industrial Average tracks the top 30 “blue chip” companies.

WHAT ARE THE MOST PROFITABLE INDUSTRIES TO BE IN?

I was able to find a Fortune 500 listing of the most profitable industries, by percentage, for 2008 – but nothing more recent. (If you can find it, let me know.)

This list only applies to American companies, but it is still very relevant. Here’s how it looks:

<http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune500/2009/performers/industries/profits/>

The Number One industry on the list is Network and Other Communications Equipment, earning a 20.4 percent profit margin. Number Two on the list is Internet Services and Retailing, at 19.4 percent.

Financial Data Services do not appear until sixth place – at 11.7 percent.

Pharmaceuticals squeak into third place at a 19.3 percent margin. However, this is misleading – because the overall healthcare / pharmaceutical industry is broken up into fully seven different categories:

Pharmaceuticals – 19.3%. Medical Products and Equipment – 16.3%. Insurance: Life, Health (stock) – 4.6%. Health Care: Pharmacy and Other Services – 3.0%. Health Care: Medical Facilities – 2.4%. Health Care: Insurance and Managed Care – 2.2%. Wholesalers: Health Care – 1.3%.

There are only 43 industries on the Fortune 500 list that actually generated profits – and *seven of them are healthcare.*

Healthcare therefore accounts for 16.28% of all the money-making industries on the Fortune 500 list. Nothing else captures that many different categories.

WHAT ABOUT THE OIL COMPANIES?

The second most-profitable American industry, based on the number of categories it has on the list and how high of a percentage they make, is the petroleum and energy business – which controls six out of 43 spots:

<http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune500/2009/performers/industries/profits/>

Mining, Crude Oil production – 11.5 percent. Oil and Gas Equipment, Services – 10.2 percent. Utilities: Gas and Electric – 8.7 percent. Petroleum Refining – 2.1 percent. Pipelines – 1.5 percent. Energy – 0.9 percent.

The oil companies therefore enjoyed 13.95% of all the most profitable categories of American businesses in 2008.

Together, oil and pharmaceuticals occupy **13 out of 43 slots** on the Fortune 500 list of the most profitable American industries – or a stunning 30.23 percent of all the money there is to be made.

THE OIL COMPANIES ARE THE MOST PROFITABLE INDIVIDUAL BUSINESSES

Remember – the above figures only tell us how strong of a profit each of these *industries* is making. If you invested in an industry that was making 20 percent profit per year, you'd be very happy.

This doesn't actually tell us how much money they are pulling in. If you had a company that cost ten dollars to run, and you made twelve dollars a year, you'd still be making a 20 percent profit.

In order to figure out how much *actual money* is being made, you have to look at *individual businesses*.

Here is a link to the Fortune 500 list of the 50 most profitable American businesses in 2010:

<http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune500/2011/performers/companies/profits/>

The single most profitable American corporation in 2010 was Exxon Mobil -- earning 30 billion, 460 million dollars.

Chevron comes in behind AT&T to take third place at 19 billion, 24 million dollars.

ConocoPhillips was #16 at 11 billion, 358 million. Devon Energy and Occidental Petroleum both made 4.5 billion.

Add it all together and you get **69 billion, 842 million dollars in oil profits** for 2010 alone – basically 70 billion – and that's only the oil companies in America's top 50.

INTERNATIONAL LISTINGS CHANGE THE PICTURE

The Fortune 500 list only applies to American industries.

You have to go international to include the non-American "[supermajor](#)" oil companies – namely BP, Royal Dutch Shell and Total S.A. – each of which are also very substantial – in addition to the American-based Chevron, ExxonMobil and ConocoPhillips.

The full international list with all these companies included is called the [Forbes Global 2000](#). This gives us a better overall sense of what's going on in the world – but it still only tracks companies that have to publicly report their earnings.

Eight out of the top 20 largest international companies are [banks](#): JP Morgan Chase, HSBC (UK), ICBC (China), Citigroup, BNP Paribas (France), Wells Fargo, Banco Santander (Spain) and China Construction Bank. That's a total of 40 percent.

[Seven of the top 20 public international companies are oil and gas](#): Exxon Mobil, Royal Dutch Shell, Petro China, Petrobras, Gazprom, Chevron and Total. That's 35 percent.

So, fifteen out of the top 20 companies on the Forbes 2000 list – *seventy-five percent* – are either banking or oil. That leaves only *five slots left* in the top 20.

Let's also not forget that the Forbes 2000 list only applies to *publicly-traded* companies.

LET'S LOOK AT THE PHARMACEUTICALS

Let's go back to the Fortune 500 list of the top American corporations for a minute.

Fully nine out of the top 50 most profitable Fortune 500 companies in 2010 were pharmaceuticals – and they add up to a total of **64 billion, 924.6 million dollars in profits**.

These are only the most obvious, verifiable pharmaceutical / healthcare companies on the list, and only those that appear in the top 50 of the Fortune 500 list:

<http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune500/2011/performers/companies/profits/>

Johnson and Johnson weighs in at ninth place with 13 billion, 334 million. 10th place is Warren Buffet's Berkshire Hathaway, with 12 billion, 967 million. Then, Procter & Gamble comes in right behind them for 12th place at 12 billion, 736 million.

Pfizer shows up at number 21 with 8 billion, 257 million. Eli Lilly is in 29th place with 5 billion, 69.5 million.

UnitedHealth Group comes in at 32nd place with 4 billion, 634 million. Amgen is right behind them at the 33rd slot with 4 billion, 627 million.

United Technologies appears at 37th place with 4 billion, 373 million. Then lastly we see CVS Caremark at 47th place with 3 billion, 427 million.

Again – nine out of all 50 of the top Fortune 500 companies are pharmaceuticals. These companies alone pulled in almost 65 billion dollars in profits for 2010, close behind the 70 billion made in oil money.

Pharmaceuticals do not jump out this much on the [Forbes Global 2000 list](#). The only pharmaceutical company in the top 20 is Berkshire Hathaway at #8, which is technically a conglomerate that has holdings in other industries as well.

DON'T FORGET THE UNITED STATES DEFENSE BUDGET

The total combined income for oil and pharmaceuticals in the top 50 slots of the Fortune 500 list is 70 billion plus 65 billion -- or 135 billion in total.

[The United States Department of Defense handed out 721.3 billion dollars in Fiscal Year 2011 alone.](#)

This does not include 20.9 billion for Atomic Energy Defense activities, nor 124.5 billion in veterans' benefits, nor 10.1 billion in foreign military aid, nor 44.1 billion in foreign economic aid, nor 7.5 billion in defense-related activities.

There are supposedly [over 330,000 defense contractors receiving this income.](#)

[The top 100 defense contractors in 2010](#) only earned about \$129.48 billion, based on a rough, approximate calculation -- out of a total Department of Defense expenditure of 692 billion for that year. **That still leaves 562.5 billion dollars unaccounted for.**

The top five defense contractors for 2010 were Lockheed Martin at 16.7 billion, Northrop Grumman at 11.1 billion, Boeing at 10.4 billion, Raytheon at 6.7 billion and SAIC at 4.7 billion.

In order for the 'core' of 1,318 companies to be earning 80 percent of the world's wealth, it would be *absolutely essential* for them to profit from this enormous defense budget. There's nothing else like it in the world.

Based on the new findings of the Swiss scientists, many of these defense contractors may be part of a single mega-conglomerate working behind the scenes.

A DIRECT CONNECTION TO THE FEDERAL RESERVE

To review, 80 percent of the world's profits are being earned by a 'core' group of 1,318 corporations.

As we look even deeper, we find this 'core' is mostly run by a "super-entity" of 147 companies that are totally interlocked. 75 percent of them are financial institutions.

The top 20 companies in the "super-entity" include Barclays Bank, JP Morgan Chase & Co., Merrill Lynch, UBS, Bank of New York, Deutsche Bank and Goldman Sachs.

Many key Federal Reserve personnel work for these companies – and they secretly handed themselves trillions of dollars in free money between 2007 and 2010.

The 147-part “super-entity” has controlling interest in the 1318-part “core”, which in turn has controlling interest in 80 percent of the world’s wealth.

It would be utterly essential for the Federal Reserve corporations, and their beneficiaries, to be heavily invested into oil and pharmaceuticals in order to earn such a tremendous percentage.


Is there any proof that the Federal Reserve elites are, indeed, invested in these and other top businesses? Absolutely.

Let’s now do some historical research on the top two Federal Reserve names we always read about – the Rockefellers and Rothschilds – and see what we find.

ROCKEFELLER’S STANDARD OIL COMPANY

John D. Rockefeller, one of the top architects who founded and bankrolled the Federal Reserve System, also owned and ran Standard Oil Company, beginning in 1870. He soon became America’s first billionaire.

Rockefeller’s spectacular oil profits ultimately allowed him to be in a position to essentially buy the United States government -- and its ability to print money – via the Federal Reserve.



Standard Oil had a [virtual monopoly on producing, transporting, refining and marketing oil up until 1911](#), when it was broken up by an alarmed United States Supreme Court in antitrust legislation.

Standard Oil of New Jersey became Esso, later to be renamed Exxon – which became a key part of ExxonMobil, currently the most profitable company in the world -- earning 30 billion, 460 million dollars.

Standard Oil of California became Chevron – currently the third most profitable company in the world at 19 billion, 24 million dollars per year.

Continental Oil Company became Conoco, now a part of ConocoPhillips – currently the sixteenth most profitable company in the world at 11 billion, 358 million dollars.

BP Amoco is a conglomerate of several Standard Oil splinter companies. Yahoo Finance currently lists BP Amoco's gross profit at [16 billion, 28 million dollars](#).


Therefore, four out of the six “supermajors” in the oil industry are direct Rockefeller spinoffs – BP, Chevron, ExxonMobil and ConocoPhillips.

Our Swiss scientists proved that these companies never really broke apart. The 1,318 in the “core” and 147 “super-entity” corporations are extremely interlocked with one another.

THE ROTHSCHILD FAMILY

Many researchers and insiders have suggested that the single largest Federal Reserve shareholder is the Rothschild family.

I went straight to the source and read their officially-sanctioned *The Rothschilds: A Family Portrait*, by Frederic Morton, Atheneum Press, New York, 1962, to learn more about them.



I didn't realize that Frankfurt, Germany was very hostile to Jews in the late 1700s. The Rothschilds lived in the ghetto, were subject to extreme public humiliation, and were nearly broke as of 1764.

http://www.amazon.com/ROTHSCHILDS-FAMILY-PORTRAIT-frederic-Morton/dp/0020230028/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1326081738&sr=8-1

16: On his way Mayer could not escape the street urchins whose favorite amusement was to shout, "Jew, do your duty!"—whereupon the Jew had to step aside, take off his hat, and bow.

Having thus entertained the local children, Mayer reached the heavy chains with which soldiers manacled the Judengasse (Jew Street) every night.

The ghetto... [had] an ordinance that barred Frankfurt Jews from farming, from handicrafts, even from dealing in nobler goods such as weapons, silk or fresh fruit....

Another city edict limited the Jews to five hundred families and to no more than twelve marriages a year.

ANGER TURNS TO ACTION

With such extreme public humiliation and oppression, occurring on a daily basis for generations, the Rothschilds may well have lost faith in the goodness and kindness of humanity.

Mayer Amschel Bauer (later “Rothschild”) was born in 1743. After his father’s death, he apprenticed for three years at the Bank of Oppenheimer and became a junior partner.



By then, he had earned enough money to buy his father’s counting house, which was [adorned with a red shield, symbolizing the desire for Revolution – thus inspiring him to take the last name “Rothschild.”](#) (The family home he purchased later had a *green shield*.)

Mayer Amschel soon found ingenious ways to make highly intelligent business deals with top royals, providing banking services to handle all the unpleasant transactions they didn’t want to be involved in.

He won over their good graces through a variety of clever techniques, such as selling them rare and precious coins at absurdly low prices.

I was surprised to see how bluntly the author of *The Rothschilds* spoke about the level of influence Mayer Amschel’s family came to have on the world – but things were very different in 1962, when the book was written.

13: [In] Frankfurt [Germany]... in a cramped ghetto dwelling... with a yellow star pinned to his caftan, Mayer Amschel Rothschild kept a small store two centuries ago.

[He] married Gutele Schnapper, and raised with her those five incredible sons who **conquered the world more thoroughly, more cunningly and much more lastingly than all the Caesars before or all the Hitlers after them.**

NO ONE DENIES THE POWER OF THE ROTHSCHILDS

The Rothschild name is no longer commonly heard in mainstream media – but this wasn't the case when *The Rothschilds* was written in 1962. Their deep connections to royalty were openly admitted in the book.

5: No modern name breathes a more storied eminence. No nonroyal family has held so much power so consistently, so peculiarly....

It would be insufficient to sum up the family as “still very wealthy.” The Rothschild fortunes in England and in France are as ineffable as ever....

6: For generations the people at Buckingham Palace have recognized the kinship: Queen Victoria often dined and slept in Rothschild houses; the Duke of Windsor fled to a Rothschild (an Austrian one) directly after his abdication.

11: The two big [Rothschild] banks in London and Paris (**probably the world's largest private financial institutions**)... have not so much as a name plate outside.

Though **they control scores of industrial, commercial, mining and tourist corporations**, not one bears the name Rothschild.

Being privately held partnerships, the family houses never need to, and never do, publish a single public balance sheet or any other report of their financial condition.

QUITE AN INORDINATELY SUCCESSFUL FAMILY

As we read further in *The Rothschilds*, we find out that Mayer Amschel's five male children distributed themselves throughout Europe – becoming essentially the world's first multi-national corporation.



Each of his sons rose to very prominent positions of power – in Germany, Austria, England, Italy and France, respectively.

29: Perhaps the early Romans were the most successful nation we have known; perhaps Napoleon the most formidable individual.

It is quite possible that the people still bustling obscurely at the Green Shield [at the end of the 1780s in Frankfurt's Jewish ghetto] were **the family par excellence in modern history**.

As long as Mayer lived alone with his wife, he was... a Caesar without centurions. But **soon those boys marched out of Gutele's womb like so many dauntless legions**.

First came Amschel, future treasurer of the German Confederation. Then Salomon, who in the end achieved exactly the exalted station in imperial Vienna that remained [Prince] Landgrave William's perpetual daydream.

Then Nathan, who rose to more power than any other man in England. Then Kalman, who wound the Italian peninsula around his hand. Then Jacob, who was to lord it in France during Republic and Empire.

NATHAN ROTHSCHILD BUYS THE BRITISH EMPIRE

Morton's book does not apologize about the Rothschilds' behavior. One of the most stunning examples is in the discussion of "consols" beginning on page 49.

"Consols" was short for "consolidated annuities" – essentially the stock of the British government after it consolidated its assets in 1751. Trading "consols" was literally trading the wealth of the British empire – and its people.

The French Empire, under Napoleon, was trying to take over everything in Europe. A seven-nation alliance commanded by the British was fighting back. It was commonly expected that the final outcome of this conflict would be decided at Waterloo.

The battle all happened on June 18, 1815. Napoleon was outnumbered – 72,000 to 118,000. Napoleon surrendered as of 10PM – after 25,000 of his men had been killed or wounded. 19,300 men were killed or wounded on the Anglo-Prussian side – *all in a single day*.

That much death and destruction in one field was a horror show. Four days later, Major W. E Frye surveyed the damage and had to leave, because he was so traumatized he nearly threw up.


http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Battle_of_Waterloo

On arrival there the sight was too horrible to behold. I felt sick in the stomach and was obliged to return.

The multitude of carcasses, the heaps of wounded men with mangled limbs unable to move, and perishing from not having their wounds dressed or from hunger, as the Allies were, of course, obliged to take their surgeons and waggons with them, formed a spectacle I shall never forget.

INSIDER TRADING

Nathan Rothschild was one of the very first to get the news of the British victory – on the dawn of June 20th.



The Rothschilds tells us an agent named Rothworth rushed Nathan the news by boat – beating the official British messenger by several hours.

49: There was no news more precious than the outcome of Waterloo... If Napoleon won, English consols were bound to drop. If he lost, the enemy empire would shatter and consols rise....

Another man in his position would have sunk his worth into consols. But this was Nathan Rothschild... He did not invest. He sold. He dumped consols.

His name was already such that a single substantial move on his part sufficed to bear or bull an issue.

Consols fell. Nathan leaned and leaned, and sold and sold. Consols dropped still more. **“Rothschild knows,” the whisper rippled through the ‘Change. “Waterloo is lost.”....**

Consols dived, consols plummeted—until, a split second before it was too late, Nathan suddenly bought a giant parcel for a song. Moments afterwards the great news broke, to send consols soaring.

We cannot guess the number of hopes and savings wiped out by this engineered panic... how many liveried servants, how many Watteaus and Rembrandts... [Rothschild] won that single day.

SIX THOUSAND, FIVE HUNDRED-TIMES INCREASE IN WEALTH

According to the meticulous research of Eustace Mullins (1985), [in this one single maneuver Nathan Rothschild expanded his fortune by a staggering factor of 6,500 times.](#)

If you don't understand what happened, he basically tricked everyone into selling everything they had, and then bought it all back on the cheap – right before the stock prices skyrocketed.

MASS PANIC IS BIG BUSINESS IF YOU ALREADY KNOW THE OUTCOME

The single greatest way to make money and secure control is to stage a mass panic. That was the lesson. If everyone sells and you know exactly the right moment to buy, you can achieve seemingly limitless power.

As the Amazon commenter “SmokeNMirrors” pointed out on August 7, 2009,

http://www.amazon.com/ROTHSCHILDS-FAMILY-PORTRAIT-frederic-Morton/dp/0020230028/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1326081738&sr=8-1

Nathan himself believed that with that move he came to control the British money supply, and with it the whole of the British Empire.

That wealth begets wealth is well known; if less than 2 centuries ago one family essentially owned the British Empire, there is a very real chance that that fortune is now many times larger than it was then....

What is beyond doubt is that they have controlled the Federal Reserve since its creation (indeed, it was their creation!) and officially the Bank of England since at least the mid-1800s.

THIS IS NOT A “JEWISH CONSPIRACY”

The Rothschilds’ own sanctioned family biography reveals the extent of power they came to hold throughout Europe.

However, blaming all this on the Jewish people would be a fatal and ridiculous mistake. Any time we begin demonizing whole races of people, we have voted for genocide.

The motivations and philosophies behind these “banking families” are rooted in secret societies that have nothing to do with Judaism, as we will soon see.

I do believe that forgiveness – despite the atrocities that have been and are being committed – will serve us much better than any desire for vigilante justice.

I have had multiple, trusted insider contacts tell me that the younger generations of this and other top banking families want to create radical, positive changes.

They do not share the cynical, negative attitude towards humanity that many of their elders still have.

Furthermore, the “system” is so large, so interconnected and so woven through all aspects of our society that the only valid way to transform it is from within.

Knowledge is the key. The system has thrived in secrecy. The truth will set us free.

WHO ACTUALLY RUNS THE FEDERAL RESERVE?

Though this information is a closely-guarded secret, there have been enough leaks to confirm the identities of the key banking families who founded the Federal Reserve.

By now, many of them should sound familiar to you – since they used the Federal Reserve to bail themselves out:

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=25080>

J. W. McCallister, an oil industry insider with House of Saud connections, wrote in The Grim Reaper that information he acquired from Saudi bankers cited 80% ownership of the New York

Federal Reserve Bank- by far the most powerful Fed branch- by just eight families, four of which reside in the US.

They are the **Goldman Sachs, Rockefellers, Lehmans** and **Kuhn Loeb**s of New York; the **Rothschilds** of Paris and London; the **Warburgs** of Hamburg; the **Lazards** of Paris; and the **Israel Moses Seifs** of Rome.

CPA Thomas D. Schauf corroborates McCallister's claims, adding that ten banks control all twelve Federal Reserve Bank branches.

He names N.M. Rothschild of London, Rothschild Bank of Berlin, Warburg Bank of Hamburg, Warburg Bank of Amsterdam, Lehman Brothers of New York, Lazard Brothers of Paris, Kuhn Loeb Bank of New York, Israel Moses Seif Bank of Italy, Goldman Sachs of New York and **JP Morgan Chase** Bank of New York.

Schauf lists **William Rockefeller, Paul Warburg, Jacob Schiff** and **James Stillman** as individuals who own large shares of the Fed. [3] The Schiffs are insiders at Kuhn Loeb. The Stillmans are Citigroup insiders, who married into the Rockefeller clan at the turn of the century.

[Eustace Mullins came to the same conclusions](#) in his book *The Secrets of the Federal Reserve*, in which he displays charts connecting the Fed and its member banks to the families of Rothschild, Warburg, Rockefeller and the others. [4]

PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES SACRIFICE PEOPLE FOR PROFITS

Now that we've touched on the Rockefeller oil and Rothschild banking aspects of the Federal Reserve, let's move back to pharmaceuticals for a minute.

Again, nine out of the top 50 most profitable Fortune 500 companies in 2010 were pharmaceuticals – at a total of **64 billion, 924.6 million dollars in profits.**

Most people are unaware of the blatant crimes against humanity that have been committed by the biggest pharmaceutical companies:

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/johann-hari/the-horrifying-hidden-sto_b_251365.html

The US Government Accountability Office says that far from being a font of innovation, the drug market... spends virtually nothing on the diseases that kill the most human beings, like malaria, because the victims are poor, so there's hardly any profit to be sucked out....

“ME TOO” DRUGS

Many pharmaceutical companies are in the business of creating “me too” drugs. They take a popular drug, change it by at little as one molecule, and re-release it as a new product.

This generates massive amounts of profits – and it is costing us dearly:

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/johann-hari/the-horrifying-hidden-sto_b_251365.html

[A detailed study by Dr Marcia Angell](#), the former editor of the prestigious *New England Journal of Medicine*, says that only 14 percent of [pharmaceutical companies'] budgets go on developing drugs -- usually at the uncreative final part of the drug-trail.

The rest goes on marketing and profits.

And even with that puny 14 percent, drug companies squander a fortune developing "me-too" drugs -- medicines that do exactly the same job as a drug that already exists, but has one molecule different, so they can take out a new patent, and receive another avalanche of profits....

[The European Union's competition commissioner, Neelie Kroes, recently concluded that Europeans pay 40 percent more for their medicines than they should](#) because of this "rotten" system -- money that could be saving many lives if it was redirected towards real health care.

ARSENIC IN CHICKEN FEED

The pharmaceutical giant Pfizer manufactures chicken feed for factory-raised birds. The droppings of these birds are then fed to factory-raised cattle.

A recent expose' revealed that [Pfizer has been putting arsenic in their chicken feed](#) to allegedly kill parasites and stimulate faster growth.

For many years they argued this did not pass into the meat, but all went out as waste.

[Recently this was proven incorrect by the FDA](#). All factory-raised chicken meat could have had arsenic in it – a deadly poison. This makes it very likely that factory-raised beef may have had arsenic as well.

Though this product Roxarsone has [now been pulled from shelves in the United States](#), Pfizer indicated it won't necessarily pull it from [about a dozen other countries](#) unless regulators force them to.

The toxic poisoning of arsenic creates health problems. Most people try to solve their health problems by taking pharmaceuticals – rather than changing their diets, such as to ethically-raised organic meats.

THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION WAS FINANCED BY THE FED

Foster Gamble's "Fact Checking" section of the *Thrive Movement* website reveals that the American Medical Association was financed by the Rockefellers (Federal Reserve).

If you own and control the pharmaceutical industry, it would certainly make sense to secretly run the agency in charge of regulating your products:

http://www.thrivemovement.com/fact_checks

Fact: The American Medical Association (AMA) is largely funded by the Rockefellers, who in turn use their funding to influence AMA research and decision-making.

The Rockefeller Foundation website points to various connections between the American Medical Association and the Foundation. Here are a few examples:

[Rockefeller Foundation Annual Report, 1932](#)

[Rockefeller Foundation Annual Report, 1957](#)

[Making the eHealth Connection: Participants](#)

[The Long Road to Universal Health Coverage](#)

The American Medical Association has been accepting money from the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations from as early as [1910](#).

In [The World Without Cancer](#) G. Edward Griffin makes the argument that the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations began to support the AMA in an effort to control the medical schooling establishment and to gain power over this "large and vital sphere of American life."

WHY WOULD WE KEEP SUCH A CORRUPTED HEALTHCARE SYSTEM?

If the pharmaceutical industry is putting profits over people, and gouging money out of an already strapped economy, then why would we keep it?

Johann Hari reveals the answer – *they literally bought the government*.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/johann-hari/the-horrifying-hidden-sto_b_251365.html

Why would we keep this system, if it is so bad?

The drug companies have spent more than \$3 billion on lobbyists and political "contributions" over the past decade in the US alone. They have paid politicians to make the system work in their interests.

If you doubt how deeply this influence goes, listen to a Republican congressman, Walter Burton, who admitted of the last big health care legislation passed in the US in 2003: "The pharmaceutical lobbyists wrote the bill."

IT ALL STARTS TO BLUR TOGETHER

This is where the lines between government, military, finance, defense contractors and corporations all begin to blur. At the core of all this is a "super-entity" of 147 companies – 75 percent of which are financial institutions.

A hugely popular Rolling Stone article by Matt Tabibi systematically revealed how Goldman Sachs is at the center of an incestuous relationship between Wall Street, the elected government and the Federal Reserve.

This article earned 23,000 Facebook Likes and 268 written comments as a result of its stunning journalism – most of which I won't include here due to its complexity:

<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/the-people-vs-goldman-sachs-20110511>

[Goldman Sachs] seemed to count on the unwillingness or inability of federal regulators to stop them — and when called to Washington last year to explain their behavior, Goldman executives brazenly misled Congress, apparently confident that their perjury would carry no serious consequences....

Goldman... [is] a powerful, well-connected firm, with the ear of the president and the Treasury, that appears to have conquered the entire regulatory structure -- and stands now on the precipice of officially getting away with one of the biggest financial crimes in history.

The fact that this evidence [covered throughout the article] comes from a U.S. senator's office, and not the FBI or the SEC, is itself an element in the worsening tale of lawlessness and despotism that sparked a global economic meltdown....

If the Justice Department fails to give the American people a chance to judge this case — if Goldman skates without so much as a trial — it will confirm once and for all the embarrassing truth: that the law in America is subjective, and crime is defined not by what you did, but by who you are.

WHAT DO YOU SAY TO THE SKEPTICS?

Most people go with the "gut" rather than with scientific facts.

Go ahead and try to tell someone that a “super-entity” of 147 corporations appears to be running the world, and those corporations are completely interconnected with the Federal Reserve – which handed out 26 trillion dollars in bailouts, without any regulation or oversight from the American government.

You may find yourself coming face-to-face with very strong denial, ridicule and attack -- even in the face of undeniable evidence.

Our Swiss scientist James Glattfelder, who used supercomputers to prove that a small number of companies control the majority of the world’s wealth, spoke directly to the skeptics in this next quote:

<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg21228354.500-revealed--the-capitalist-network-that-runs-the-world.html>

"Reality is so complex, we must move away from dogma, whether it's conspiracy theories or free-market," says [James Glattfelder](#).

"Our analysis is reality-based."

The next question you would reasonably ask yourself is this: *How the hell have these people avoided getting caught for the last 100-plus years?*

THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

What if it were possible to discourage critical thinking in the public – so people would never put all the pieces together? One useful way to do this would be to control the educational system.

Again, I understand that I am hitting a brick wall with the “average person” by bringing this up, as they cannot face the possibility that the world they live in has been so deeply manipulated and compromised.

Nonetheless, Foster Gamble’s “Fact Checks” section of the Thrive Movement website makes a compelling case that this is what happened.

THE ROCKEFELLERS “BOUGHT” THE EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM

The Federal Reserve created the National Education Association via the Rockefeller family:

http://www.thrivemovement.com/fact_checks

In the early 20th century both the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations were donating large sums of money to education and the social sciences. They supported, in particular, the National Education Association.

By way of grants, they spent millions of dollars, money which was used to **radically bend the traditionalist education system toward a new system that favored standardized testing over critical thinking**, toward “scientific management” in schools.

This was part of a **calculated plan to make the schooling system benefit corporate America**, at the expense of the American school child. Powerful foundations with private interests, such as the [Ford Foundation](#), continue to support, and thereby influence the policy of, the NEA to this day.

Additionally, an unprecedented U.S. Congressional investigation into tax-exempt foundations identified the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations engagement in an agenda for vast population control.

Norman Dodd, Research Director for the Congressional Committee, found this statement in the archives of the Carnegie endowment:

“The only way to maintain control of the population was to obtain control of education in the U.S. They realized this was a prodigious task... [so] the portion of education which could be considered as domestically oriented [was] taken over by the Rockefeller Foundation and that portion which was oriented to International matters [was] taken over by the Carnegie Endowment.”...

MEDIA CONSOLIDATION

In order for such a vastly interconnected group to avoid detection for this long, it would also be an absolute *requirement* for them to buy, own and control the media.

You will soon see compelling, documented proof that the power elite were already bragging about this accomplishment by 1815 – the same year Nathan Rothschild won the British government on a bet.

However, we will review recent history first, so as to better understand how today’s world of seemingly hundreds of independent media sources is actually quite tightly controlled.

In 1983, there were 50 different independent media companies in the United States. By 2004, this number had reduced to five key players: Time Warner, Disney, News Corporation (FOX), Bertelsmann of Germany and Viacom (formerly CBS).

Ben Bagdikian expertly lays out all the proof for this media in his [updated 2004 edition of The New Media Monopoly](#).

<http://benbagdikian.net/>

These five huge corporations — Time Warner, Disney, Murdoch's News Corporation, Bertelsmann of Germany, and Viacom (formerly CBS) — **own most of the newspapers, magazines, books, radio and TV stations, and movie studios of the United States....**

These five are not just large — though they are all among the 325 largest corporations in the world — they are unique among all huge corporations: **they are a major factor in changing the politics of the United States, and they condition the social values of children and adults alike.**

SIX CORPORATIONS DOMINATE THE UNITED STATES' MEDIA

A more recent investigation by FAIR.org revealed that the vast majority of media in the United States is dominated by six mega-corporations: [General Electric, Walt Disney, News Corp, Time Warner, Viacom and CBS.](#)

[As you delve into the individual categories of [cable](#), [television](#), [print](#), [telecom](#) and [radio](#), you find a few more companies chasing behind the Big Six – but not many.]

These companies often control the entire creative process of a film or television show from beginning to ending – making it an ideal environment for creating propaganda:

<http://www.freepress.net/ownership/chart/main>

The U.S. media landscape is dominated by massive corporations that, through a history of mergers and acquisitions, have concentrated their control over what we see, hear and read.

In many cases, **these giant companies are vertically integrated, controlling everything from initial production to final distribution.**

Three of these mega-conglomerates appear on Fortune 500's Top 50 Most Profitable list for 2010:

<http://money.cnn.com/magazines/fortune/fortune500/2011/performers/companies/profits/>

This includes General Electric at 11 billion, 644 million; Walt Disney at 3 billion, 963 million; and Comcast at 3 billion, 635 million.

General Electric is also [the world's third biggest public company](#) – according to the Forbes 2000 list.

AN INTERNATIONAL PLAN TO GENERATE PROPAGANDA?

You may not realize that most of the cable channels on American television are international. Subtitles are used in foreign countries. This is part of why so many people now speak English.

Therefore, the scope of this media consolidation is truly worldwide. Bertelsmann is the only company among Ben Bagdikian's "Big Five" that is not primarily centered in the United States.

In his seminal work, Bagdikian reveals how these five main companies are shaping and molding society:

<http://benbagdikian.net/>

These Big Five (with General Electric's NBC a close sixth) do not manufacture automobiles, or clothing, or nuts and bolts. **They manufacture politics and social values.**

The media conglomerates have been a major force in creating conservative and far right politics in the country.

They have almost single-handedly as a group, in their radio and television dominance, **produced a coarse and vulgar culture that celebrates the most demeaning characteristics in the human psyche — greed, deceit, and cheating** as a legitimate way to win (as in the various "reality" shows).

OPERATION MOCKINGBIRD

All this being said, it would be a terrible mistake to arrest and imprison the lower, middle and upper-mid-level staff in the media as if they are all complicit in the problem.

CEOs may not fully understand what's going on either. They are well-paid to be the scapegoats when all hell breaks loose. The biggest powers are always those who work behind the scenes.

Key managers and directors are likely being [bribed, blackmailed and threatened into doing the biddings of the Federal Reserve corporate super-entity – partly by not wanting to disappoint their advertisers.](#)

This was well underway by 1948, with a little-known CIA project called Operation Mockingbird. Many documents have since been leaked or declassified on this subject.

[Spartacus Educational](#) is overflowing with documentation and links you can read – including references to multiple academic books investigating the subject.

<http://www.spartacus.schoolnet.co.uk/JFKmockingbird.htm>

In 1948 [Frank Wisner](#) was appointed director of the Office of Special Projects. Soon afterwards it was renamed the Office of Policy Coordination (OPC).

This became the espionage and counter-intelligence branch of the [Central Intelligence Agency](#).

Wisner was told to **create an organization that concentrated on "propaganda, economic warfare; preventive direct action, including sabotage, anti-sabotage, demolition and evacuation measures; subversion against hostile states, including assistance to underground resistance groups, and support of indigenous anti-Communist elements in threatened countries of the free world."**

Later that year **Wisner established Mockingbird, a program to influence the domestic American media.** Wisner recruited [Philip Graham](#) (*Washington Post*) to run the project within the industry....

According to [Deborah Davis](#) (*Katharine the Great*): **"By the early 1950s, Wisner 'owned' respected members of the New York Times, Newsweek, CBS and other communications vehicles." ...**

NEW YORK TIMES EXPOSES OPERATION MOCKINGBIRD

The New York Times actually revealed a small part of this explosive story in 2007.

<http://washington.blogs.nytimes.com/2007/06/26/project-mockingbird/>

The C.I.A. monitoring of journalists in 1963, 1971 and 1972, including wiretapping their phones and setting up observation posts across the street from their offices to track their comings and goings and their visitors, was a practice that the White House itself employed during the Nixon administration....

As with other questionable or illegal C.I.A. activities that were endorsed by top government officials, this account shows that spying on reporters was approved at the highest levels of the Kennedy administration....

By ordering the director of central intelligence to conduct a program of domestic surveillance, Kennedy set a precedent that Presidents Johnson, Nixon, and George W. Bush would follow.

The top investigative books on this subject include *A Very Private Woman* by Nina Burleigh, *Mockingbird: The Subversion of the Free Press by the CIA* by Alex Constantine, *The Mighty Wurlitzer: How the CIA Played America* by Hugh Wilford, *Who Paid the Piper?* by Frances Stonor Saunders and *The Very Best Men* by Evan Thomas.

This consolidated control did not fizzle out in more recent years. It has only gotten worse.

After a shocking betrayal, Conan O'Brien led the pack in revealing how centralized the media still is today.

CONAN O'BRIEN'S SHOCKING BETRAYAL FROM BIG MEDIA

Very few entities within the mainstream media have ever risen up against their own companies – but Conan O'Brien is a rare exception who should definitely be mentioned at this point.

Conan O'Brien was spectacularly humiliated by Big Media in January 2010 – only seven months after NBC made him the host of the Tonight Show, fulfilling a long-term contract from 2004.



NBC felt Conan's ratings weren't high enough. In a classic power-play attempt, NBC tried to force Conan to give the coveted 11:30 slot back to Jay Leno and settle for a show that didn't begin until 12:05 am.

Conan [refused to cave in to their power move – and quit.](#)

He soon [won a 40 million-dollar settlement for him and his staff](#) due to this obvious breach of contract – and gave a healthy portion of his side of the settlement to his staff as well.

CONAN SANK INTO A DEEP DEPRESSION

After this stunning public humiliation, Conan sank into a deep depression:

<http://abcnews.go.com/Entertainment/conan-obrien-quits-declares-tonight-show-time/story?id=9539812>

I grew up watching Johnny Carson every night and the chance to one day sit in that chair has meant everything to me.

I worked long and hard to get that opportunity, passed up far more lucrative offers, and since 2004 I have spent literally hundreds of hours thinking of ways to extend the franchise long into the future.

<http://www.hollyscoop.com/conan-obrien/conan-obrien-admits-to-depression-following-tonight-show-firing.html>

"I felt like I'd just been in a car accident," O'Brien admits to the mag.

His wife Liza Powel says Conan suffered from depression...

"I hated to see him in such a state of tension and unhappiness," Powel says on a more serious note. "It was very painful for him to let go of this hallowed ground that he'd finally got a chance to stand on.

CONAN GETS HIS REVENGE – TWO YEARS LATER

In late September 2011, Conan announced on his relatively-new TBS show that he was going to officiate over the first same-sex wedding on television – between his costume designer Scott Cronick and his partner David Gorshein.

The mainstream media covered the story – but not with very much originality.

Fully eighteen different news and entertainment shows, from all different networks, were shown reading the same script – almost always word-for-word.

The key phrase revealed on Conan's show was "Conan O'Brien may be about to push the envelope on late-night television."

Conan thinly disguised this as comedy – when in fact it was a shocking, unprecedented expose' of just how thoroughly centralized and controlled the media really is:

THE MEDIA ALSO OWN THE PHARMACEUTICAL AND INSURANCE INDUSTRIES

These facts clearly establish that the mainstream media is heavily consolidated and controlled – despite there being seemingly limitless television channels and media sources.

However, we still haven't proven that the largest media corporations are interconnected with the Federal Reserve banking families – which dominate the "super-entity" of the top 147 corporations on Earth.

I was surprised to find out that nine of the biggest media corporations on Earth are also controlling partners in the pharmaceutical / healthcare industry.

<http://www.fair.org/index.php?page=3845>

A recent FAIR study of nine major media corporations and their major outlets, Disney (ABC), General Electric (NBC), CBS, Time Warner (CNN, Time), News Corporation (Fox), New York Times Co., Washington Post Co. (Newsweek), Tribune Co. (Chicago Tribune, L.A. Times) and Gannett (USA Today) found connections to six different insurance companies.

Five out of the nine media corporations studied shared a director with an insurance company; two insurance companies—Chubb and Berkshire Hathaway—were represented by more than one media corporation director.

The study also found **crossover between these media corporations and several large pharmaceutical companies**, such as Eli Lilly, Merck and Novartis....

Out of the nine media corporations studied, six had directors who also represented the interests of at least one pharmaceutical company. In fact, save for CBS, **every media corporation had board connections to either an insurance or pharmaceutical company....**

Media Corporation	Insurance & Pharmaceutical Companies
Disney/ABC	Procter & Gamble
GE/NBC	Chubb, Novartis, Procter & Gamble, Merck
Time Warner	AIG, Health Cap, Paratek Pharmaceuticals
Fox/News Corp	GlaxoSmithKline, Genentech, Hybritech
New York Times Co.	First Health Group, Eli Lilly
Tribune Co.	Abbott Labs, Middelbrook Pharmaceuticals
Gannett/USA Today	Chubb

STEP BACK AND THINK ABOUT IT

Now step back and think about what we have learned so far in the course of this investigation.

The Federal Reserve handed out 26 trillion dollars in bailout money – to themselves. To their own companies. To their own banks.

That means they're suffering. This is not something they would have done if they weren't forced to. They are experiencing real trouble – and it's affecting global headlines with rumors of financial collapse.

According to former Forbes Asia-Pacific bureau chief Benjamin Fulford, the 2008 collapse was pushed over the tipping point by an international coalition of countries who are actively resisting the Federal Reserve group.

The Federal Reserve appears to control, directly or indirectly, 1,318 corporations that earn up to 80 percent of the world's wealth. 147 corporations within that group are totally interconnected with each other, and earn 40 percent of global revenues.

Global media was consolidated from 50 independent corporations to five giants in barely over 20 years – from 1983 to 2004.

One of the top two or three most profitable businesses in America is the healthcare industry. In order for the “super-entity” of 147 corporations to control 80 percent of the wealth, they would almost certainly need to invest in healthcare.

Six out of the top nine media corporations have directors with controlling interests in the pharmaceutical companies.

Eight out of the top nine media corporations have insurance and / or pharmaceutical company executives serving on their Board of Directors.

This is precisely the type of interconnectedness discovered by the Swiss team of scientists – using supercomputers.

Conan O'Brien was burned badly by Big Media – and got his revenge almost two years later, by revealing how virtually every television network was reading off of the same prepared script.

Click on the "Next" button to head into the next section of this investigation: [The History.](#)